

High Energy limits of Dirac type eigenfunctions

A. Strohmaier (joint work with D. Jakobson)

DMV Tagung 2006

- 1 Introduction
 - Quantum ergodicity
- 2 The Problem
 - Laplace type and Dirac type Operators
- 3 The Solution
 - Frame flows
 - The p -form Laplacian and the k -frame flow
 - The Dirac operator and the frame flow
- 4 Remarks and outlook

Motivation

Theorem (Shnirelman, C. de Verdiere, Zelditch)

*Let X be a compact Riemannian manifold and let ϕ_i be an orthonormal sequence in $L^2(X)$ consisting of eigenfunctions. If the geodesic flow on T_1^*X is ergodic there is a subsequence ϕ'_j of counting density one such that*

$$|\phi'_j(\mathbf{x})|^2 \rightarrow 1$$

*in the weak topology of measures.
(Ergodicity implies Quantum Ergodicity)*

Microlocal version

Theorem (Shnirelman, C. de Verdiere, Zelditch)

*Let X be a compact Riemannian manifold and let ϕ_j be an orthonormal sequence in $L^2(X)$ consisting of eigenfunctions. If the geodesic flow on T_1^*X is ergodic there is a subsequence ϕ'_j of counting density one such that*

$$\langle \phi'_j, A\phi'_j \rangle \rightarrow \int_{T_1^*X} \sigma_A(\xi) dL(\xi)$$

for all $A \in \Psi\text{DO}_{cl}^0(X)$.

(Ergodicity implies microlocal Quantum Ergodicity)

Bundle valued operators

- Question: what about bundle valued operators like the Laplace Beltrami operator or the Dirac operators on a spin manifold. The situation is slightly different:
 - One cannot expect a direct analog to hold. Eg. coclosed and closed eigen- p -forms give rise to different quantum limits.
 - the relevant algebra of pseudodifferential operators is $\Psi\text{DO}_{cl}^0(X, E)$ quantum limits are the states on $\overline{\Psi\text{DO}_{cl}^0(X, E)/\mathcal{K}} \cong C(T_1^*X, \pi^*(\text{End}(E)))$ which is a noncommutative C^* -algebra.

Bundle valued operators

- Question: what about bundle valued operators like the Laplace Beltrami operator or the Dirac operators on a spin manifold. The situation is slightly different:
- One cannot expect a direct analog to hold. Eg. coclosed and closed eigen- p -forms give rise to different quantum limits.
- the relevant algebra of pseudodifferential operators is $\Psi\text{DO}_{cl}^0(X, E)$ quantum limits are the states on $\overline{\Psi\text{DO}_{cl}^0(X, E)/\mathcal{K}} \cong C(T_1^*X, \pi^*(\text{End}(E)))$ which is a noncommutative C^* -algebra.

Bundle valued operators

- Question: what about bundle valued operators like the Laplace Beltrami operator or the Dirac operators on a spin manifold. The situation is slightly different:
- One cannot expect a direct analog to hold. Eg. coclosed and closed eigen- p -forms give rise to different quantum limits.
- the relevant algebra of pseudodifferential operators is $\Psi\text{DO}_{cl}^0(X, E)$ quantum limits are the states on $\overline{\Psi\text{DO}_{cl}^0(X, E)} / \mathcal{K} \cong C(T_1^*X, \pi^*(\text{End}(E)))$ which is a noncommutative C^* -algebra.

Ergodicity of frame flows

Let FX be the frame bundle and let $p : FX \rightarrow T_1^*X$ be the projection onto the first vector. The geodesic flow extends by parallel translation to a flow on FX , the frame flow. If X is negatively curved with sectional curvatures satisfying $-K_2^2 \leq K \leq -K_1^2$. The frame flow is known to be ergodic

- if X has constant curvature (Brin 76, Brin-Pesin 74);
- for an open and dense set of negatively curved metrics (in the C^3 topology) (Brin 75);
- if n is odd, but not equal to 7 (Brin-Gromov 80); or if $n = 7$ and $K_1/K_2 > 0.99023\dots$ (Burns-Pollicot 03);
- if n is even, but not equal to 8, and $K_1/K_2 > 0.93$, (Brin-Karcher 84); or if $n = 8$ and $K_1/K_2 > 0.99023\dots$ (Burns-Pollicot 03).

Ergodicity of frame flows

Let FX be the frame bundle and let $p : FX \rightarrow T_1^*X$ be the projection onto the first vector. The geodesic flow extends by parallel translation to a flow on FX , the frame flow. If X is negatively curved with sectional curvatures satisfying $-K_2^2 \leq K \leq -K_1^2$. The frame flow is known to be ergodic

- if X has constant curvature (Brin 76, Brin-Pesin 74);
- for an open and dense set of negatively curved metrics (in the C^3 topology) (Brin 75);
- if n is odd, but not equal to 7 (Brin-Gromov 80); or if $n = 7$ and $K_1/K_2 > 0.99023\dots$ (Burns-Pollicot 03);
- if n is even, but not equal to 8, and $K_1/K_2 > 0.93$, (Brin-Karcher 84); or if $n = 8$ and $K_1/K_2 > 0.99023\dots$ (Burns-Pollicot 03).

Ergodicity of frame flows

Let FX be the frame bundle and let $p : FX \rightarrow T_1^*X$ be the projection onto the first vector. The geodesic flow extends by parallel translation to a flow on FX , the frame flow. If X is negatively curved with sectional curvatures satisfying $-K_2^2 \leq K \leq -K_1^2$. The frame flow is known to be ergodic

- if X has constant curvature (Brin 76, Brin-Pesin 74);
- for an open and dense set of negatively curved metrics (in the C^3 topology) (Brin 75);
- if n is odd, but not equal to 7 (Brin-Gromov 80); or if $n = 7$ and $K_1/K_2 > 0.99023\dots$ (Burns-Pollicot 03);
- if n is even, but not equal to 8, and $K_1/K_2 > 0.93$, (Brin-Karcher 84); or if $n = 8$ and $K_1/K_2 > 0.99023\dots$ (Burns-Pollicot 03).

Ergodicity of frame flows

Let FX be the frame bundle and let $p : FX \rightarrow T_1^*X$ be the projection onto the first vector. The geodesic flow extends by parallel translation to a flow on FX , the frame flow. If X is negatively curved with sectional curvatures satisfying $-K_2^2 \leq K \leq -K_1^2$. The frame flow is known to be ergodic

- if X has constant curvature (Brin 76, Brin-Pesin 74);
- for an open and dense set of negatively curved metrics (in the C^3 topology) (Brin 75);
- if n is odd, but not equal to 7 (Brin-Gromov 80); or if $n = 7$ and $K_1/K_2 > 0.99023\dots$ (Burns-Pollicot 03);
- if n is even, but not equal to 8, and $K_1/K_2 > 0.93$, (Brin-Karcher 84); or if $n = 8$ and $K_1/K_2 > 0.99023\dots$ (Burns-Pollicot 03).

Quantum ergodicity for the p -form Laplacian

Consider the following restricted system on p -forms which form an ONB in $\ker(\delta)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_p \phi_j &= \lambda_j \phi_j, \\ \delta \phi_j &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem (JS)

*If $p \neq \frac{n-1}{2}$ and the $2\min(p, n-p)$ -frame-flow is ergodic, then there is a density one subsequence ϕ_k' that converges to a state ω_∞ on $C(T_1^*X, \pi^* \text{End}(\Lambda^p X))$.*

$$\omega_\infty(\mathbf{a}) := \binom{n-1}{p}^{-1} \int_{T_1^*X} \text{tr}(i(\xi)i^*(\xi)\mathbf{a}(\xi)) dL(\xi),$$

Quantum ergodicity for the p -form Laplacian

If $p = \frac{n-1}{2}$ there is a further symmetry δ^* and we need a further constraint $i^{p+1} \delta^* \phi_k = \pm \sqrt{\lambda_k} \phi_k$. With this further constraint Quantum ergodicity holds!

This is the case in dimension 3 for 1-forms, i.e. for electrodynamics in the physical dimension and is due to circular polarizations.

Quantum ergodicity for the Dirac operator

Theorem (JS)

Let X be a spin manifold with spinor bundle S and Dirac operator D . Let ϕ_j be an ONB in the positive energy subspace of D in $L^2(X; S)$ of eigensections. Then, if the frame flow is ergodic there is a density one subsequence ϕ'_j that converges to a state ω_+ on $C(T_1^*X, \pi^* \text{End}(S))$.

$$\omega_+(a) = \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}} \int_{T_1^*X} \text{tr}((1 + \gamma(\xi)) a(\xi)) dL(\xi).$$

Remarks

- Since the high energy limit is noncommutative it is “quantum” and shows some new features. For example ergodic decompositions are not unique (may split Dirac into chiral parts as well).
- Conclusion of the theorem is not true for negatively curved Kähler manifolds even though the geodesic flow is ergodic (frame flow is not).
- Ergodic decomposition of the frame flow has a quantum counterparts. (no anomalies yet).

Remarks

- Since the high energy limit is noncommutative it is “quantum” and shows some new features. For example ergodic decompositions are not unique (may split Dirac into chiral parts as well).
- Conclusion of the theorem is not true for negatively curved Kähler manifolds even though the geodesic flow is ergodic (frame flow is not).
- Ergodic decomposition of the frame flow has a quantum counterparts. (no anomalies yet).

Remarks

- Since the high energy limit is noncommutative it is “quantum” and shows some new features. For example ergodic decompositions are not unique (may split Dirac into chiral parts as well).
- Conclusion of the theorem is not true for negatively curved Kähler manifolds even though the geodesic flow is ergodic (frame flow is not).
- Ergodic decomposition of the frame flow has a quantum counterparts. (no anomalies yet).